

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,071	07/10/2003	Dave Buzzetti	10035-001	3787
75	590 05/21/2004		EXAM	INER
Robert L. Wolter, Esquire			SWINEHART, EDWIN L	
Beusse, Brownlee, Bowdoin & Wolter, P.A. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
390 North Orange Avenue			3617	
Orlando, FL 32801			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/617,071	BUZZETTI, DAVE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ed Swinehart	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,6-13 and 16-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,5,14 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	A) 🔲 Intensions Summerors	(PTO 413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/617,071 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 11 is objected to, as "the means for adjusting the length of the torso section" finds no clear basis in the previous claims. In the action which follows, it will be assumed that in this instance, "torso" is "sleeve", as was set forth in the previous claim. Correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 9,18,19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ruelle et al.

Ruelle et al. discloses the claimed invention, including an opening at the neck, a closable torso opening, and being closed at the bottom thereof as well as the end of the sleeves. A floatation device **34** is provided as well.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10,11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruelle et al. in view of Dobbs.

Ruelle et al. fails to disclose sleeve adjustment means as claimed.

Dobbs teaches provision of adjustable length for a sleeve, comprising straps and buckles.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide an adjustment means to the garment of Ruelle et al. as taught by Dobbs.

Such a combination would have been desirable at the time the invention was made so as to provide for fitment to various size individuals.

6. Claims 9,12,13,19,21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blackwell in view of Lyman.

Blackwell discloses the field of the invention, including closed sleeves and lower torso, a hood, a neck opening and a floatation device. Provision for torso length adjustment is also provided in the form of straps and buckles. Blackwell fails to disclose an opening other than the neck opening.

Lyman teaches a survival suit having a torso opening **K** permitting entry.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a means of ingress/egress to Blackwell as taught by Lyman.

Such a combination would have been desirable at the time the invention was made so as to provide ease in donning of the suit.

7. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruelle et al. in view of Lastnik.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,071

Art Unit: 3617

Ruelle et al. fails to disclose the notoriously old and well known inflation by CO2 cartridge.

Lastnik teaches a survival suite inflated as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the oral inflation tube of Ruelle et al. with a CO2 cartridge as taught by Lastnik.

Such a combination would have been desirable at the time the invention was made so as to provide a means permitting automatic inflation when needed.

8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruelle et al. in view of Taylor.

Ruelle et al. fails to disclose a hood formed as a separate element.

Taylor discloses a survival suit with hood formed as a separate member attached via tether.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a hood formed as a separate member attached via a tether as taught by Taylor.

Such a combination would have been desirable at the time the invention was made so as to provide for a means to aid in being rescued, due to provision of the illustrated indicators.

9. Claims 1-3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blackwell in view of Dobbs.

Blackwell is discussed above, and Dobbs is applied as above.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,071 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

10. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blackwell in view of Dobbs, as applied against claim 1 above, and further in view of Taylor.

Taylor is applied as above.

11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blackwell in view of Dobbs as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lastnik.

Lastnik is applied as above.

- 12. Claims 4,5,14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ed Swinehart whose telephone number is 703-308-2566. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30 am to 2:00 pm..
- 14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,071 Page 6

Art Unit: 3617

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ed Swinehart
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617
